

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,404	01/15/2004	Thomas R. Cundiff	BOCO122200	6099
26389 7.	26389 7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENS	EN, O'CONNOR, JO	SELLMAN, CACHET I		
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, W.	A 98101-2347		1762	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	ω		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/758,404	CUNDIFF ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Cachet I. Sellman	1762		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja	anuary 2004.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application	ion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· <u></u>	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.				
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alaatian saayisamant			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of Ionn P10-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		n)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority				
	-		ed III tilis National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/07/2004</u> .	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/758,404 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pearce et al. (US 5204033).

Pearce et al. discloses a method for preparing a perform for use in resin transfer molding process in which fibers are applied to a mandrel beyond the finished line (abstract, column 8, lines 27-29); a tackifier solution is applied comprising a reduced resin concentration (column 6, lines 1-11); the tackifier solution is locally consolidated using heat shrink tape (column 6, lines 12-24); and the fibers are cut along the final finish line (column 6, lines 55-68) as required by **claim 1**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearce et al. as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Black (US 5716686).

Application/Control Number: 10/758,404

Art Unit: 1762

The teachings of Pearce et al. as applied to claim 1 are as stated above.

Pearce et al. does not teach using a tackifier solution, which comprises resin to be used for the resin transfer molding process diluted by a solvent as required by **claim**2.

Black discloses a process for tackifying fabric material that is used in a molding process (abstract). Black teaches that it is best to use the injection resin thinned in an organic solvent as the tackifying adhesive because using a resin that is chemically different from the resin injected into the molding will degrade the strength of the cured resin and the resulting composite body (column 1,lines 44-59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process taught by Pearce et al. to use the same resin for the tackifier and injection process. One would have been motivated to do so because Black teaches that using different resins will degrade the strength of the cured resin and the resulting composite body therefore one would have a reasonable expectation of success in forming the composite.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cachet I. Sellman whose telephone number is 571-272-0691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 - 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,404

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cachet Sellman Patent Examiner Art Unit 1762

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER